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| UNITED STATES  v.  NAME  RANK  U.S. Marine Corps/Navy | Motion for Appropriate Relief  (Docketing Request)  18 September 2025 |

1. **Nature of Motion**. The defense / government moves the court to docket trial dates and set judicial deadlines in the above-captioned case.
2. **Justification**. Arraignment is impractical because (explain why the accused cannot be arraigned)
3. **Relief Requested**. The court is respectfully requested to order the dates and deadlines in the Trial Management Order that has been attached to this motion.
4. [if applicable] **Excludable Delay**. The defense specifically agrees that all delay from the date of this request until the date of arraignment is attributable to the defense and excludable under Rule for Courts-Martial 707, Article 10, UCMJ and any other speedy trial authorities.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF COUNSEL

RANK, U.S. Marine Corps

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Motion Response**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Opposing counsel agrees to the trial and pretrial dates proposed in the attached Trial Management Order.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Opposing counsel does not agree to the dates proposed in the attached Trial Management Order and requests a 39(a) session on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

NAME OF COUNSEL

RANK, U.S. Marine Corps

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Court Ruling**

The motion for docketing is APPROVED. All parties shall comply with the deadlines set forth in the Trial Management Order.

The motion for docketing is DENIED.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Military Judge